



BASSFORD REMELE

FIVE LEGAL ISSUES EVERY MINNESOTA GENERAL CONTRACTOR NEEDS TO KNOW RIGHT NOW

John C. Holper
Bassford Remele, P.A.

If you have not updated your contracts, your compliance practices, or your insurance review in the past twelve months, you are likely exposed to preventable risks. Changes and updates to key state laws have expanded general contractors' potential liability in ways that may surprise you. Here are the five issues demanding your immediate attention.

1. You Are Responsible For Your Subcontractors' Payroll

This one catches many general contractors off guard: under current Minnesota law, if a subcontractor fails to pay its workers, the general contractor can be held liable for the unpaid wages — even if the general contractor paid the subcontractor in full and on time.

The law was designed to protect workers lower in the contracting chain from wage theft by undercapitalized subcontractors. It is a sound policy goal. But the practical effect is that general contractors are now on the hook for payroll failures over which they have no direct control.

Authority: Minn. Stat. § 181.165 (General Contractor Liability for Subcontractor Wage Claims). Enacted as part of the 2023 Omnibus Labor Bill; effective January 1, 2024.

A general contractor cannot waive or contract around this liability. Any indemnification clause in your subcontract that attempts to do so is unenforceable — a general contractor can seek indemnity from a subcontractor only after it has paid the workers.

What this means for your operations:

- Update every subcontractor agreement to require proof of employee payment on a regular cycle (weekly or biweekly). Minn. Stat. § 181.165, subd. 4 expressly permits general contractors to require subcontractors to provide payroll records.
- Add audit rights to your subcontracts — the right to inspect payroll records on demand.
- Consider requiring subs to carry a payroll bond on larger projects.
- Verify whether the union contractor exception applies: the statute exempts general contractors and subcontractors who are parties to a collective bargaining agreement covering the affected employees. See Minn. Stat. § 181.165, subd. 6.

If you are still using boilerplate subcontract language from five years ago, have a construction attorney review it now. The indemnification language you're relying on may be worthless.

2. The Responsible Contractor Law: More Than a Checkbox

Public bidding requirements are tighter than ever. Minnesota's Responsible Contractor Law governs who can bid on public construction projects. It requires general contractors to certify compliance with specific standards covering labor practices, tax obligations, and workplace safety. Non-compliance doesn't result in a fine — it results in disqualification from the bid entirely.

Authority: Minn. Stat. §§ 16C.285 (state contracts) and 16B.30 (state building projects). Contractors bidding on public projects valued over \$50,000 must submit a Responsible Contractor verification; prime contractors must obtain and retain verifications from all subcontractors at every tier.

The law is primarily aimed at larger firms, but its implications ripple through the entire subcontracting chain. If bidding on public work — or if any of the general contractor's subcontractors do — everyone in that chain needs to meet the statutory criteria.

The practical compliance requirements include:

- **Current licensure** with the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry under Minn. Stat. Ch. 326B.
- **Tax and insurance compliance:** Workers' compensation (Minn. Stat. Ch. 176), unemployment insurance (Minn. Stat. Ch. 268), and proper tax withholding under Minn. Stat. § 290.92.
- **Safety record:** Compliance with OSHA (29 U.S.C. § 651 et seq.) and Minnesota OSHA (Minn. Stat. § 182.65 et seq.).
- **Subcontractor certification:** Prime contractors must verify and retain Responsible Contractor certifications from every subcontractor and sub-subcontractor. Minn. Stat. § 16C.285, subd. 4.

The reputational dimension matters too. Clients — both public agencies and sophisticated private owners — increasingly use Responsible Contractor compliance as a proxy for overall professionalism and risk management.

If expanding into public projects for the first time, do not wait until bid day to assess your compliance posture. Work with legal counsel to conduct a pre-bid audit and identify any gaps before they become disqualifying.

3. Prevailing Wage Compliance: The 2026 Rates Are Live

Minnesota's prevailing wage law requires every contractor and subcontractor on a state-funded project to pay workers the prevailing wage rate for their trade and classification in the county where the work is performed. The Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry has released updated rates for 2026, and they need to be built into your bids before you submit them — not discovered after award.

Authority: Minn. Stat. §§ 177.41–177.44 (Prevailing Wages on State Projects). The DLI publishes annual prevailing wage rate determinations by county and trade classification; 2026 Highway & Heavy rates are available at dli.mn.gov.

The prevailing wage covers both the hourly base rate and the value of benefits. Getting it wrong creates compounding problems:

- **Back-Pay Liability** for the wage differential on every affected worker for the duration of the project. Minn. Stat. § 177.43, subd. 6a.
- **Civil Penalties And Debarment:** The DLI may assess penalties and recommend debarment from future public bids. Minn. Stat. § 177.43.
- **Downstream Exposure:** Liability flows between tiers depending on subcontract language; a vague “comply with applicable law” clause will not protect you. Minn. Stat. § 177.44. Make sure your subcontracts explicitly flow down the prevailing wage obligation — a general compliance clause is not sufficient in the event of a dispute or audit.

A growing number of smaller general contractors and specialty trades are bidding on public projects for the first time, drawn by infrastructure funding and stable government work. If that describes your firm, prevailing wage compliance needs to be built into your estimating and HR systems from day one. Review of the 2026 Highway & Heavy Prevailing Wage Rates at dli.mn.gov before finalizing any public project bid is imperative. County-by-county variations can materially affect labor cost projections.

4. Your Insurance Program May Not Reflect Your Actual Risk

Construction insurance has always been complex. In 2026, it’s become genuinely difficult. A combination of forces — rising material replacement costs driven by tariffs, tighter underwriting across the industry, and expanded contractor liability under new Minnesota law — means that a policy that was adequate 18 months ago may leave meaningful gaps today.

The core issues to review with your broker and legal counsel:

- **Replacement Cost Coverage:** Tariffs have pushed steel, aluminum, lumber, and electrical components materially higher. Review builder’s risk and property policies against current replacement values.
- **Subcontractor Default Coverage (SDI):** Given potential exposure under Minn. Stat. § 181.165, consider whether an SDI program is appropriate for larger projects as a backstop against subcontractor payroll failures.
- **Additional Insured Status:** Confirm that subcontractors are naming you as an additional insured and that their policy limits are adequate. Review the anti-indemnity provisions of Minn. Stat. Ch. 337 (Construction Contracts), which limit certain indemnification obligations.
- **AI And Technology Exclusions:** Newer policy language is beginning to exclude losses arising from AI-assisted design, scheduling, or estimation errors. Review exclusions carefully if your firm uses these tools.

- **Completed Operations Tail:** Minnesota’s statute of repose bars construction defect claims brought more than ten years after substantial completion. Minn. Stat. § 541.051. Your completed operations coverage must extend at least that long.

Don’t treat the annual insurance renewal as an administrative task. It is a risk-management conversation that warrants direct involvement from your attorney and project leadership.

5. AI on the Jobsite: Powerful Tools, Unsettled Legal Terrain

The rise of AI tools on job sites is creating contractual risks that most standard agreements do not address. Artificial intelligence is moving into construction at a pace that has outrun the legal infrastructure around it. General contractors are using AI tools for estimating, scheduling, safety monitoring, document management, and even design review. Most are doing so without contracts that clearly allocate the risk when those tools produce wrong results.

The legal questions that remain largely unsettled in Minnesota — and nationally — include:

- Who is liable when an AI scheduling tool causes a delay or a cost overrun?
- If AI-assisted design review misses a code deficiency, does that affect your professional liability exposure?
- When a dispute arises, who owns the AI-generated outputs — the contractor, the software vendor, or the owner?
- Are AI outputs “project documents” subject to document retention and discovery obligations in your contracts?

These questions don’t have clean legal answers yet. What can be done to reduce exposure through contract language is:

- **Add AI Use Provisions** to your prime contracts and subcontracts. Define what tools are authorized, who is responsible for validating AI outputs, and how disputes over AI-generated work product will be handled. AIA Document A201-2017 § 1.1.8 (as amended in the 2023 supplemental guidance) addresses digital data but predates current AI tools; the standard form language requires supplementation.
- **Data Security And Confidentiality:** Include provisions covering project information fed into third-party AI platforms. Consider Minnesota’s data privacy framework (Minn. Stat. Ch. 13, Minnesota Government Data Practices Act, for public projects; and the Minnesota Consumer Data Privacy Act, effective July 31, 2025, for consumer-facing data) when evaluating vendor agreements.
- **Review Vendor Agreements Carefully:** Most AI tool providers disclaim liability for errors in their outputs under broad limitation-of-liability clauses. Understand what you are accepting before deploying these tools on a project.
- **Document AI Use:** In the event of a claim or dispute, your internal records of how AI tools were used, validated, and overridden will be critical. Build documentation protocols into your project management procedures now.

The firms best positioned as AI-related disputes begin to work through courts and arbitration panels are the ones that addressed these issues in their contracts before a problem arises — not after.

The Bottom Line

Minnesota's construction legal environment is ever-changing and rapidly evolving. General contractors who are still operating on pre-2024 contract templates, compliance programs, and insurance assumptions are carrying risk they may not even be able to see until a claim arrives.

The good news: all five of the issues covered here are manageable with proper contract drafting, legal review, and operational discipline. The cost of getting ahead of them is a fraction of the cost of responding to a claim, a stop-work order, or a bid disqualification.

If you haven't had a construction attorney review your standard contracts, subcontract templates, and compliance programs recently, there's no better time than now.

About the Author



[John Holper](#) is a shareholder, member of the board of directors, and experienced construction and real estate trial attorney with the law firm Bassford Remele, P.A. John has more than 30 years of experience representing commercial and residential owners, commercial landlords and tenants, lenders, business entities, developers, contractors, subcontractors, material suppliers, architects, and engineers. He works with his clients not only when a dispute arises, but also on the front end by applying his litigation experience to help draft and negotiate construction-related contracts in order to manage risk and avoid litigation whenever possible. jholper@bassford.com, 612.376.1649.

DISCLAIMER: THIS ARTICLE IS NOT INTENDED TO BE RELIED UPON AS LEGAL ADVICE. THERE IS NO ATTORNEY-CLIENT RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BASSFORD REMELE, P.A. AND ANY READER OF THIS ARTICLE.

5/28/2026